| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   |   | I A   |                            |
|---|---|---|----------------------------|
|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)                                      |                            |
| Notice of Allowability  | 10/618,947  | GROSS ET AL.                                      |                            |
| Notice of Anowability   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |                            |
|   | Zachary C. Tucker   | 1624  |                            |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appear All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313  | (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this app<br>or other appropriate communication<br>GHTS. This application is subject to | plication. If not includ<br>will be mailed in due | led<br>course. <b>THIS</b> |
| 1. This communication is responsive to 20 June 2005.  | •   |   | •                          |
| 2. ☑ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1</u> .   |   |   |                            |
| 3. The drawings filed on are accepted by the Examiner   | . (No drawings)   |   | ٠.                         |
| <ul> <li>4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority una) All b) Some* c) None of the: <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol> </li> <li>* Certified copies not received:</li></ul>   | been received.  been received in Application No cuments have been received in this r                          | national stage applica                            |                            |
| 5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submit INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give   |   |   | IOTICE OF                  |
| <ul> <li>6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date</li> <li>(b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date</li> <li>Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the</li> </ul> | on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-S Amendment / Comment or in the O   | ffice action of                                   | e back) of                 |
| 7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depose attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT F   |   |   | Note the                   |
| Attachment(s)  1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 Paper No./Mail Date   | 8. ☑ Examiner's Stateme 9. ☐ OtherSUP   | (PTO-413),<br>e<br>nent/Comment                   | owance<br>ON<br>XAMMER     |

Art Unit: 1624

# Response to Amendment

The single claim has been amended as requested in the correspondence from applicants filed 20 June 2005 (hereinafter "the present amendment"), which is in reply to the Office action mailed 22 March 2005 (hereinafter "previous Office action").

#### Status of Double Patenting Rejection

In the previous Office action, the single claim was rejected under the judicially-created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting over claim 21 of U.S. Patent 6,617,327. The double patenting rejection is hereby withdrawn in view of there having been filed a petition for Certificate of Correction, granted, for U.S. Patent 6,617,327. Claim 21 of the patent now does not recite conditions recited in the instant claim.

# Status of Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

In the previous Office action, the single claim was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, for failing to comply with the enablement requirement.

The rejection is hereby withdrawn in view of the present amendment, which deletes "eating disorders," "cocaine addiction" and "sexual dysfunction" from the claim. The generic terms "eating disorders" and "sexual dysfunctions" have bee replaced with "anorexia nervosa, bulimia nervosa" and "premature ejaculation," respectively, which are more specific and narrower terminologies.

In the statement of the enablement rejection in the previous Office action, it was put forth that anorexia nervosa is not treatable with any drug, as taught by the cited Crow and Mitchell reference (page 5 of the previous Office action). Applicants' counsel has presented evidence that in fact anorexia nervosa has been treated with drugs

having the activity possessed by the compounds of formula I (Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibition) and therefore, there is no reason to believe that one of ordinary skill in the art could not also do so with a compound according to formula I, without an undue amount of experimentation. Three references have been submitted with the present amendment that show successful treatment of anorexia nervosa with SSRI drugs. Therefore, the contention that anorexia nervosa is not treatable with any drug is recanted.

The three references submitted with the present amendment were included as an appendix to the remarks; the examiner has cited them formally on a PTO-892 form so that the references will appear on the face of a printed patent issuing from the instant application, as they were relied upon in withdrawing a claim rejection.

# Allowable Subject Matter

The single claim is allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Conditions recited in the claim are deemed to be treatable with an SSRI drug, and evidence specific to each is on the record in the instant application. No prior art anticipating or rendering obvious the compound according to formula I, which is the therapeutic agent employed in the claimed method is known. Compounds according to formula I, and some methods of treatment therewith were patented in the parent application, now U.S. Patent 6,617,327.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably

Application/Control Number: 10/618,947

Art Unit: 1624

accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

#### Conclusion

All Post-Allowance Correspondence concerning this application must be mailed to:

Mail Stop Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Or you can fax them to the Office of Patent Publications at 703-872-9306, in order to expedite the handling of such correspondence as amendments under 37 CFR 1.312; information disclosure statements, and formal drawings. Sending Post-Allowance papers to Technology Center 1600 will only cause delays in matching papers with the case.

For information concerning status of correspondence sent after receipt of the Notice of Allowance, please contact the Correspondence Branch at (703) 305-8027. The Notice of Allowance also has an insert containing contact information on other items, including Issue Fees, receipt of formal drawings and the status of the application.

zt

JAMES O. WILSON

Page 4

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600